## Electronic structure of dopant around stacking fault in Si Crystal

Shigeto R. Nishitani<sup>1</sup>, Yosuke Yamamoto<sup>1,2</sup>, Kensuke Togase<sup>1</sup>, Yutaka Ohno<sup>3</sup>, and Ichiro Yonenaga<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Informatics, Kwansei Gakuin University, Sanda, Hyogo 669-1337, Japan, e-mail: nishitani@kwansei.ac.jp <sup>2</sup> iOS Engineers App Pro Machines, Morokuchi 1-12-30, Osaka Tsurumi-ku, Osaka 538-0051, Japan. <sup>3</sup> Institute for Materials Research, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8577, Japan.

**KWANSEI GAKUIN** 

## Experimental observations

Dislocation cores of heavily doped n- and p-type Si crystals have been observed via transmission electron microscopy (TEM) by Ohno et al.<sup>[1</sup>]. When an n-type dopant (P, As, or Sb) is added, the dissociation width of stacking faults increases with increasing annealing time. Because the dissociation width of the stacking fault is determined by the balance between the stacking fault energy and repulsion of the dislocation cores, an increase in the stacking fault width indicates a decrease in stacking fault energy.



Calphad XLIV Loano(Italy) May31-June 5, 2015



Comparing ionized As+ and Ga, As is stabilized at GB.

Because donner level drop of n type dopant.

In perfect lattice(PC) and grain boundary(GB) region, the energy drops due to the relaxation effect are almost same

Strain around dopant is not main effect on dopant segregation.

### Justo model for electronic structure of dopant around stacking fault in Si



• Stacking fault energy decreases, with increasing the dopant concentration.

• Dopants segregate in SF region.

• B(p-type)

- Stacking fault energy remains constant, with increasing the dopant concentration.
- Dopant does not segregate in SF region.





- stabilize the system due to the donor level drop.
- · Al(p-type)
  - Do not stabilize the system due to the emptiness of changed acceptor level.
- Thus, n-type dopants do, but p-type dopants do not segregate in SF region.

🌙 KWANSEI GAKUIN

#### calculation method 1 c 16 c (a) 2x2 (25%) 15 c 14 c Ο fault pla Ο 13 c 12 h dopant 11 h 10 h (b) 3x3 (11%) Ο 0 $\bigcirc$ 00 5 c 4 c [111] Ο dopant Ο Ο 3 C 2 C $\bigcirc$

· VASP models

· Si<sub>63</sub>X<sub>1</sub>, Si<sub>143</sub>X<sub>1</sub>

p-type: B, Al, Ga, In

· n-type: N, P, A, Sb

Solution energy of dopant  $E_{\text{solution}} = \left( E_{\text{Si}_{63}\text{X}_1}^{\text{SF}} - E_{\text{Si}_{63}\text{X}_1}^{\text{cubic}} \right) - \left( E_{\text{Si}_{64}}^{\text{SF}} - E_{\text{Si}_{64}}^{\text{cubic}} \right)$ 

• Electronic structure at gamma point

To investigate the experimental results of the segregation of dopant atoms around the stacking fault, we performed an energy assessment using Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP). Our results indicate that both p-type (P, As, Sb) and n-type (Al, Ga, In) dopant atoms reduce the stacking fault energy when these atoms localize around the stacking fault.



# VASP energy assessment

- 1. Al, Ga, In(p-type), P, As, Sb(n-type) stabilized at SF
- 2. B stabilized not at SF.
  - 1. Consistent with the experiments by Ohno

	Experiment	Calculation		
	Ohno	Arias & Joannopoulos	Justo	This work
Segregate	Ga, P, As, Sb	Donor (N, P, As, Sb)	Donor (N, P, As, Sb)	Al, Ga, In, P, As, Sb
Don't Segregate	В	Acceptor (B, Al, Ga, In)	Acceptor (B, Al, Ga, In)	B, N



 SF 2-02-1
 SF 2-02-1

 SEGX1
 SEGX1

 dopant
 diagonal

 vertical bond
 diagonal

 2.4813
 2.4181

 2.4828
 2.4401

 2.3961
 2.4122

 2.5468
 2.5172

 2.556
 2.2172

 2.568
 2.2172

 2.568
 2.2172

 2.4563
 2.4553

 2.6563
 2.2455

 2.6563
 2.2455

 SF\_3x3x1
 SF\_3x3x1
 SF\_3x3x1
 SF\_3x3x1
 Sf\_45X1
 Sf\_45X2
 Sf\_45X2
 Sf\_45X2
 Z4535
 Z 4535
 Z 4535
 Z 5507
 <thZ 5507</th>
 <thZ 5507</th>
 Z 55077

 SE 2x541
 SE 2x541

 dopant
 dopant

 vertical bond
 bond

 2x667
 2.115

 2x2687
 2.6407

 2x309
 2.44071

 2x304
 2.51031

 1x302
 2.5101

 2x306
 2.1031

 2x306
 2.5768

 SF 3-cb-1
 SF 3-cb-1

 SH43X1
 SH43X1

 dopart
 dopart

 vertical bond
 dopart

 2.0766
 2.0852

 2.4335
 2.4511

 2.3999
 2.4067

 2.5130
 2.05196667

 2.5171
 2.3095

 2.4171
 2.3052

 2.458
 2.45766667

 2.458
 2.44766667

 2.468
 2.44766667







Substitution laver



Substitution layer



Substitution layer



### • Energy assessment of dopant segregation in Si

1. Al, Ga, In(acceptor, n-type), P, As, Sb(donor, p-type) stabilize the system when segregate at stacking faults.

- 2. B stable at next layer of SF.
- 3. N behavior depends on the concentration.

• Electronic structure change due to dopant replacement

- 4. Al, Ga, and In(acceptor) stabilize due to the valence band drop.
- 5. P, As, and Sb(donor) stabilize due to the dopant level drop.

To describe the dopant behavior in the stacking fault region in Si crystal, we proposed a new model as illustrated in Fig.<sup>1</sup>[<sup>2</sup>]. When the n-type dopant atoms are substituted at the stacking fault region (SF), the donor levels and total energy are shifted to lower energies. Conversely, when the p-type dopant atoms are substituted at the stacking fault, the system is unaffected by the change in the acceptor level because of the acceptor level do not contain any electrons, whereas the valence band shifts to lower energies and reduces the total energy.

Substitution layer